

Write one program, get two (or three, or many)

BOB 2017, Berlin

Andres Löh

24 February 2017



Motivation

JSON representation

```
class ToJSON a where  
  toJSON      :: a -> Value  
  toEncoding  :: a -> Encoding
```

```
class FromJSON a where  
  parseJSON  :: Value -> Parser a
```

Example datatype

JSON representation

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

Example datatype

JSON representation

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

```
thisTalk =
  MkTalk
    12
    "Andres Löh"
    "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
    Regular
```

Example instances

JSON representation

```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

```
instance ToJSON Track where  
  toJSON Regular = "Regular"  
  toJSON Workshop = "Workshop"
```

```
instance FromJSON Track where  
  parseJSON =  
    withText "category" $ \txt ->  
      if      txt == "Regular"  then pure Regular  
      else if txt == "Workshop" then pure Workshop  
      else    fail "unknown category"
```

Example instances

JSON representation

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

Example instances

JSON representation

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

```
instance ToJSON Talk where
  toJSON (MkTalk nr author title cat) =
    object
      [ "nr"      .= nr
      , "author"  .= author
      , "title"   .= title
      , "category" .= cat
      ]
```

Example instances

JSON representation

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

```
instance FromJSON Talk where
  parseJSON =
    withObject "talk" $ \obj ->
      MkTalk
        <$> obj . : "nr"
        <*> obj . : "author"
        <*> obj . : "title"
        <*> obj . : "category"
```

Desired round-trip property

JSON representation

```
parseMaybe parseJSON (toJSON x) = Just x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = Just x
```

Desired round-trip property

JSON representation

```
parseMaybe parseJSON (toJSON x) = Just x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = Just x
```

Example:

```
GHCi> decode (encode thisTalk) == Just thisTalk  
True
```

Not just JSON

Binary serialization

```
class Binary t where  
  put :: t -> Put  
  get :: Get t
```

Example instances

Binary serialization

```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

Example instances

Binary serialization

```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

```
instance Binary Track where  
  put Regular  = putWord8 0  
  put Workshop = putWord8 1
```

Example instances

Binary serialization

```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

```
instance Binary Track where  
  put Regular = putWord8 0  
  put Workshop = putWord8 1
```

```
get = do  
  i <- getWord8  
  case i of  
    0 -> return Regular  
    1 -> return Workshop  
    _ -> fail "out of range"
```

Example instances

Binary serialization

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

Example instances

Binary serialization

```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr      :: Int
  , talkAuthor  :: Text
  , talkTitle   :: Text
  , talkTrack   :: Track
  }
```

```
instance Binary Talk where
  put (MkTalk nr author title cat) =
    put nr >> put author >> put title >> put cat
  get =
    MkTalk <$> get <*> get <*> get <*> get
```

Desired round-trip property

Binary serialization

```
runGet get (runPut (put x)) = x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```

Desired round-trip property

Binary serialization

```
runGet get (runPut (put x)) = x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```

Example:

```
GHCi> decode (encode thisTalk) == thisTalk  
True
```

Other similar examples

SQL database table rows:

```
class ToRow a where  
  toRow :: a -> [Action]
```

```
class FromRow a where  
  fromRow :: RowParser a
```

Other similar examples

SQL database table rows:

```
class ToRow a where  
  toRow :: a -> [Action]
```

```
class FromRow a where  
  fromRow :: RowParser a
```

Textual representation:

```
class Show a where  
  showsPrec :: Int -> a -> ShowS
```

```
class Read a where  
  readsPrec :: Int -> ReadS a
```

Common theme

- ▶ We write (at least) two programs.
- ▶ The programs contain the same (very similar) information.
- ▶ There are desired properties that are easily violated.

(Datatype-)Generic Programming

Derive everything automatically

```
deriving instance Generic Talk  
deriving instance Generic Track
```

Derive everything automatically

```
deriving instance Generic Talk  
deriving instance Generic Track
```

```
instance ToJSON Talk  
instance ToJSON Track  
instance FromJSON Talk  
instance FromJSON Track  
instance Binary Talk  
instance Binary Track
```

Write no program, get many?

Write no program, get many?

- ▶ The datatype `is` a program!

Write no program, get many?

- ▶ The datatype `is` a program!
- ▶ Programs follow the structure of the datatypes precisely.
- ▶ This is not always good.

Disadvantages of generic programming

- ▶ External representations are implicit.
- ▶ And under the control of (third-party) library authors.
- ▶ Limited flexibility.

All or nothing?

Either:

- ▶ Use the derived instances.
- ▶ Enjoy the lack of boilerplate.
- ▶ Possibly live with a suboptimal external (or internal) representation.

Or:

- ▶ Write instances yourself.
- ▶ Stay in control.
- ▶ Lots of hand-written, error-prone code with subtle proof obligations.

Is there another option?

What if there are different requirements?

```
{ "nr": 12
  , "author": "Andres Löh"
  , "title": "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
  , "category": "Regular"
}
```

vs.

```
{ "nr": 12
  , "author": "Andres Löh"
  , "title": "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
  , "is-workshop": false
}
```

The solution

A single description for both (all) desired functions:

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
  . object
    ( prop "nr"
      . prop "name"
      . prop "title"
      . prop "category"
    )
```

```
instance Json Track where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Regular . "Regular"
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . "Workshop"
```

A single description

- ▶ Explicit. Can be different from datatype.
- ▶ Still strongly typed.
- ▶ Easy to adapt.

Switching representations

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
  . object
    ( prop "nr"
      . prop "name"
      . prop "title"
      . prop "category"
    )
```

```
instance Json Track where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Regular . "Regular"
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . "Workshop"
```

Switching representations

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
  . object
    ( prop "nr"
      . prop "name"
      . prop "title"
      . property "is-workshop" boolTrack
    )
```

```
boolTrack =
  fromPrism _Regular . false
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```

Switching representations

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
    . object
      ( prop "nr"
        . prop "name"
        . prop "title"
        . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
          )
      )
    )
```

```
boolTrack =
  fromPrism _Regular . false
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```

A closer look

Prisms

- ▶ A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- ▶ Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.

Prisms

- ▶ A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- ▶ Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a)
             -> StackPrism a b
forward    :: StackPrism a b -> (a -> b)
backward   :: StackPrism a b -> (b -> Maybe a)
```

Prisms

- ▶ A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- ▶ Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a)
             -> StackPrism a b
forward     :: StackPrism a b -> (a -> b)
backward    :: StackPrism a b -> (b -> Maybe a)
```

Laws:

```
backward p (forward p a) = Just a
backward p b = Just a ⇒ forward p a = b
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor :: Text  
  , talkTitle  :: Text  
  , talkTrack  :: Track  
  }
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor  :: Text  
  , talkTitle   :: Text  
  , talkTrack   :: Track  
  }
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor  :: Text  
  , talkTitle   :: Text  
  , talkTrack   :: Track  
  }
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk  
        (Int, Text, Text, Track) -> Talk
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor :: Text  
  , talkTitle  :: Text  
  , talkTrack  :: Track  
  }
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk  
        (Int, Text, Text, Track) -> Talk  
        (Int, (Text, (Text, (Track, ()))) -> Talk
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor :: Text  
  , talkTitle  :: Text  
  , talkTrack  :: Track  
  }
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk  
        (Int, Text, Text, Track) -> Talk  
        (Int, (Text, (Text, (Track, s)))) -> Talk
```

Stacks

```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk  
  { talkNr      :: Int  
  , talkAuthor :: Text  
  , talkTitle  :: Text  
  , talkTrack  :: Track  
  }
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk  
        (Int, Text, Text, Track) -> Talk  
        (Int, (Text, (Text, (Track, ()))) -> Talk  
        (Int, (Text, (Text, (Track, s)))) -> (Talk, s)  
        (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) -> (Talk :- s)
```

Example stack prisms

```
_Talk ::  
  StackPrism  
  (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

Example stack prisms

```
_Talk ::  
  StackPrism  
  (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

```
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)  
_True  :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
```

Example stack prisms

```
_Talk ::  
  StackPrism  
  (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

```
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)  
_True  :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
```

```
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)  
_Just     :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
```

Example stack prisms

```
_Talk ::  
  StackPrism  
  (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

```
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)  
_True  :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
```

```
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)  
_Just     :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
```

```
_Pair :: StackPrism (a :- b :- s) ((a, b) :- s)
```

Example stack prisms

```
_Talk ::  
  StackPrism  
  (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

```
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)  
_True  :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
```

```
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)  
_Just    :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
```

```
_Pair :: StackPrism (a :- b :- s) ((a, b) :- s)
```

```
_Nil  :: StackPrism s ([a] :- s)  
_Cons :: StackPrism (a :- [a] :- s) ([a] :- s)
```

Obtaining stack prisms

These can be derived mechanically:

```
PrismList (P _Talk) =  
  mkPrismList :: StackPrisms Talk  
PrismList (P _Regular :& P _Workshop) =  
  mkPrismList :: StackPrisms Track
```

Works via datatype-generic programming:

```
mkPrismList ::  
  (MkPrismList (Rep a), Generic a) => StackPrisms a
```

Another look at the descriptions

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
    . object
      ( prop "nr"
        . prop "name"
        . prop "title"
        . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
          )
      )
  )
```

```
boolTrack =
  fromPrism _Regular . false
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```

Also parameterized by stacks:

Grammar n a b

Here:

- ▶ n is the syntactic category,
- ▶ a is the “source” stack,
- ▶ b is the “target” stack.

Examples

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular  
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```

Examples

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular  
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```

```
GHCi> :type false  
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
```

Examples

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```

```
GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
```

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
```

Examples

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```

```
GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
```

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
```

```
GHCi> gdecode (fromPrism _Regular . false) "false"
Just Regular
```

Examples

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```

```
GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
```

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
```

```
GHCi> gdecode (fromPrism _Regular . false) "false"
Just Regular
```

```
GHCi> gencode (fromPrism _Regular . false) Regular
Just "false"
```

Combinators

Grammars

Composition:

$(.) :: \text{Grammar } n \text{ b c} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a b} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a c}$

Combinators

Grammars

Composition:

$(.) :: \text{Grammar } n \text{ b c} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a b} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a c}$

Choice:

$(<>) :: \text{Grammar } n \text{ a b} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a b} \rightarrow \text{Grammar } n \text{ a b}$

Interpretations

Grammars

```
class Json a where
```

```
  grammar :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (a :- b)
```

```
gencode ::
```

```
  Grammar Val (Value :- ()) (a :- ())
```

```
  -> a -> Maybe ByteString
```

```
gdecode ::
```

```
  Grammar Val (Value :- ()) (a :- ())
```

```
  -> ByteString -> Maybe a
```

Round-trip properties?

Grammars

The expectation is that:

```
gencode g a = Just b ⇒  
  gdecode g b = Just a
```

A final look at the descriptions

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
    fromPrism _Talk
    . object
      ( prop "nr"
        . prop "name"
        . prop "title"
        . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
          )
      )
    )
```

```
boolTrack =
  fromPrism _Regular . false
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```

Stepping back

What have we achieved?

- ▶ A better representation.
- ▶ Sufficient to compute multiple interpretations.
- ▶ Works for interpretations having different directions.
- ▶ Widely applicable?

This and other solutions

The code shown for JSON is based on:

JsonGrammar

by Martijn van Steenbergen

This and other solutions

The code shown for JSON is based on:

JsonGrammar

by Martijn van Steenbergen

The same idea (stack prisms, composition, DSL, interpretations) can be applied to other scenarios:

- ▶ binary serialization,
- ▶ SQL database table rows,
- ▶ human-readable textual representations,
- ▶ ...

Some other notable libraries

invertible-syntax

by Tillmann Rendel (also Haskell Symposium 2010 paper)

roundtrip, roundtrip-string, roundtrip-xml, roundtrip-aeson

by Stefan Wehr and David Leuschner

(roundtrip-aeson by Thomas Sutton and Christian Marie)

boomerang, web-routes-boomerang

by Jeremy Shaw

(where web-routes-boomerang is based on Zwaluw, by Sjoerd Visscher and (again) Martijn van Steenbergen)

servant

by Alp Mestanogullari, Sönke Hahn, Julian Arni and others

The more general message

- ▶ Choose suitable representations for your programs.
- ▶ If you write several programs that are interrelated in complicated ways, you are doing it wrong.
- ▶ Some scenarios in specific applications may be much easier (additional conventions and constraints).

Questions?